

Representations of reductive groups and invariant theory

Kyo Nishiyama

Graduate School of Science
Kyoto University

International conference on Recent Advances in Mathematics
and its applications.
(September 24–30, 2007)

Department of Mathematics, KU Post Graduate Center
Belgaum, Karnataka INDIA

- 1 Introduction
- 2 First Fundamental Theorem (FFT)
- 3 Second Fundamental Theorem (SFT)
- 4 Geometric invariant theory (a first step)

What is invariants?

What is invariants?

Examples of invariants in **broader** sense

- **numbers** ... of finite sets

What is invariants?

Examples of invariants in **broader** sense

- **numbers** ... of finite sets
- **dim** ... of finite vector spaces

What is invariants?

Examples of invariants in **broader** sense

- **numbers** ... of finite sets
- **dim** ... of finite vector spaces
- **rank** ... of matrix (or linear map)

What is invariants?

Examples of invariants in **broader** sense

- **numbers** ... of finite sets
- **dim** ... of finite vector spaces
- **rank** ... of matrix (or linear map)
- **genus** ... of compact 2-dimensional surfaces
or we should say...
Euler characteristic ... of manifolds

What is invariants?

Examples of invariants in **broader** sense

- **numbers** ... of finite sets
- **dim** ... of finite vector spaces
- **rank** ... of matrix (or linear map)
- **genus** ... of compact 2-dimensional surfaces
or we should say...
Euler characteristic ... of manifolds

Classification problem

- \implies study of equivalence classes
- \implies invariants

There are more sophisticated invariants...

There are more sophisticated invariants... which I vaguely understand

There are more sophisticated invariants... which I vaguely understand

- Alexander/Jones/Homfly/Kauffman polynomials ... of knots and links
 - Vasiliev invariants
 - Chern-Simons invariants
 - Now there are so many invariants, quantum invariants, ...
- Donaldson invariants
 - Seiberg-Witten invariants
 - Gromov-Witten invariants ... quantum cohomology
- Iwasawa invariants ... for class field theory

There are more sophisticated invariants... which I **vaguely** understand

- Alexander/Jones/Homfly/Kauffman polynomials ... of knots and links
 - Vasiliev invariants
 - Chern-Simons invariants
- Now there are so many invariants, quantum invariants, ...
- Donaldson invariants
 - Seiberg-Witten invariants
 - Gromov-Witten invariants ... quantum cohomology
- Iwasawa invariants ... for class field theory

Study of invariants \equiv Study of mathematics !

There are more sophisticated invariants... which I **vaguely** understand

- Alexander/Jones/Homfly/Kauffman polynomials ... of knots and links
 - Vasiliev invariants
 - Chern-Simons invariants
 - Now there are so many invariants, quantum invariants, ...
- Donaldson invariants
 - Seiberg-Witten invariants
 - Gromov-Witten invariants ... quantum cohomology
- Iwasawa invariants ... for class field theory

Study of invariants \equiv Study of mathematics !

... **Too big subject** for us

There are more sophisticated invariants... which I **vaguely** understand

- Alexander/Jones/Homfly/Kauffman polynomials ... of knots and links
 - Vasiliev invariants
 - Chern-Simons invariants
 - Now there are so many invariants, quantum invariants, ...
- Donaldson invariants
 - Seiberg-Witten invariants
 - Gromov-Witten invariants ... quantum cohomology
- Iwasawa invariants ... for class field theory

Study of invariants \equiv Study of mathematics !

... Too big subject for us (at least for **me**)

So what is invariant theory?

So what is invariant theory?

Examples of **classical invariants** in invariant theory

- 1 square of **distance** $r^2 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$: orthogonal invariant

So what is invariant theory?

Examples of **classical invariants** in invariant theory

- 1 square of **distance** $r^2 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$: orthogonal invariant

Or more generally,

quadratic form of signature (p, q) ($p + q = n$)

$$x_1^2 + \cdots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - \cdots - x_{p+q}^2$$

\implies Sylvester's law of inertia

So what is invariant theory?

Examples of **classical invariants** in invariant theory

- ① square of **distance** $r^2 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$: orthogonal invariant

Or more generally,

quadratic form of signature (p, q) ($p + q = n$)
 $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - \cdots - x_{p+q}^2$

\implies **Sylvester's law of inertia**

- ② **determinant** : $\det X$

$$\det(gXg^{-1}) = \det X \quad g : \text{invertible matrix}$$

$$\det(gX) = \det X \quad g : \text{unimodular matrix}$$

So what is invariant theory?

Examples of **classical invariants** in invariant theory

- ① square of **distance** $r^2 = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$: orthogonal invariant

Or more generally,

quadratic form of signature (p, q) ($p + q = n$)

$$x_1^2 + \cdots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - \cdots - x_{p+q}^2$$

\implies **Sylvester's law of inertia**

- ② **determinant** : $\det X$

$$\det(gXg^{-1}) = \det X \quad g : \text{invertible matrix}$$

$$\det(gX) = \det X \quad g : \text{unimodular matrix}$$

trace : $\text{trace } X$

$$\text{trace}(gXg^{-1}) = \text{trace } X \quad g : \text{invertible matrix}$$

③ **discriminant** : $\Delta(f)$... SL_2 -invariant

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= a_0x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1}x + a_n \\ &= a_0 \prod_{j=1}^n (x - \zeta_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta(f) := a_0^{2n-2} \prod_{i < j} (\zeta_i - \zeta_j)^2 \quad \dots \text{polynomial in } a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

③ **discriminant** : $\Delta(f)$ \cdots SL_2 -invariant

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= a_0x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1}x + a_n \\ &= a_0 \prod_{j=1}^n (x - \zeta_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta(f) := a_0^{2n-2} \prod_{i < j} (\zeta_i - \zeta_j)^2 \quad \cdots \text{polynomial in } a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

④ **resultant** : $R(f, g)$ \cdots SL_2 -invariant

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= a_0x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1}x + a_n = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \zeta_i) \\ g(x) &= b_0x^m + b_1x^{m-1} + \cdots + b_{m-1}x + b_m = b_0 \prod_{j=1}^m (x - \xi_j) \\ R(f, g) &:= a_0^m b_0^n \prod_{i,j} (\zeta_i - \xi_j) \quad \cdots \text{polynomial in } a \text{ \& } b \end{aligned}$$

These are all **polynomial invariants** and

These are all **polynomial invariants** and
related to some **group action**

These are all **polynomial invariants** and
related to some **group action**

Here is a summary:

distance	$O_n \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^n$: orthogonal group
quadratic form	$O_{p,q} \curvearrowright \mathbb{R}^n$: indefinite orth group
det X , trace X	$GL_n \curvearrowright M_n$: adjoint action
$\Delta(f), R(f, g)$	$SL_2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}[x, y]_n$

Here $GL_n = \{g : n \times n\text{-matrix} \mid \exists g^{-1} \iff \det g \neq 0\}$

$O_{p,q} = \{g \in GL_n \mid \|gx\|_{p,q} = \|x\|_{p,q}\} \quad (n = p + q)$

where $\|x\|_{p,q} = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - \cdots - x_{p+q}^2$

$SL_n = \{g \in GL_n \mid \det g = 1\}$ example of reductive groups

Abstract setting

G : (linear) group $\curvearrowright X \subset \mathbb{C}^N$: algebraic action of G on X

Abstract setting

G : (linear) group $\curvearrowright X \subset \mathbb{C}^N$: algebraic action of G on X

Definition (algebraic action)

$\rho : G \times X \rightarrow X$: polynomial function s.t.

- ① $\rho(e, x) = x$
- ② $\rho(gh, x) = \rho(g, \rho(h, x))$

Notation: $g \cdot x = gx = \rho(g, x)$

Abstract setting

G : (linear) group $\curvearrowright X \subset \mathbb{C}^N$: algebraic action of G on X

Definition (algebraic action)

$\rho : G \times X \rightarrow X$: polynomial function s.t.

- ① $\rho(e, x) = x$
- ② $\rho(gh, x) = \rho(g, \rho(h, x))$

Notation: $g \cdot x = gx = \rho(g, x)$

Polynomial functions and invariants:

$\mathbb{C}[X] := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is polynomial function}\}$: ring of regular functions

$\mathbb{C}[X]^G := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[X] \mid f(g^{-1} \cdot x) = f(x) (\forall g \in G)\}$: ring of invariants

Abstract setting

G : (linear) group $\curvearrowright X \subset \mathbb{C}^N$: algebraic action of G on X

Definition (algebraic action)

$\rho : G \times X \rightarrow X$: polynomial function s.t.

- ① $\rho(e, x) = x$
- ② $\rho(gh, x) = \rho(g, \rho(h, x))$

Notation: $g \cdot x = gx = \rho(g, x)$

Polynomial functions and invariants:

$\mathbb{C}[X] := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is polynomial function}\}$: ring of regular functions

$\mathbb{C}[X]^G := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[X] \mid f(g^{-1} \cdot x) = f(x) (\forall g \in G)\}$: ring of invariants
 functions which are constant along orbits

Abstract setting

G : (linear) group $\curvearrowright X \subset \mathbb{C}^N$: algebraic action of G on X

Definition (algebraic action)

$\rho : G \times X \rightarrow X$: polynomial function s.t.

- ① $\rho(e, x) = x$
- ② $\rho(gh, x) = \rho(g, \rho(h, x))$

Notation: $g \cdot x = gx = \rho(g, x)$

Polynomial functions and invariants:

$\mathbb{C}[X] := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is polynomial function}\}$: ring of regular functions

$\mathbb{C}[X]^G := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[X] \mid f(g^{-1} \cdot x) = f(x) (\forall g \in G)\}$: ring of invariants

functions which are constant along orbits

$\implies \mathbb{C}[X]^G$ is graded by degree of polynomials, i.e., graded algebra

Why invariants?

Why invariants?

As we saw, invariants are **ubiquitous** in mathematics

Why invariants?

As we saw, invariants are **ubiquitous** in mathematics
In particular...

- **Classifying** mathematical objects

Why invariants?

As we saw, invariants are **ubiquitous** in mathematics
In particular...

- **Classifying** mathematical objects
- Understanding the original action $G \curvearrowright X$

Why invariants?

As we saw, invariants are **ubiquitous** in mathematics
In particular...

- **Classifying** mathematical objects
- Understanding the original action $G \curvearrowright X$
 - ▶ **orbits**,

Why invariants?

As we saw, invariants are **ubiquitous** in mathematics
In particular...

- **Classifying** mathematical objects
- Understanding the original action $G \curvearrowright X$
 - ▶ orbits,
 - ▶ **homogeneous spaces**, or **prehomogeneous spaces**

Why invariants?

As we saw, invariants are **ubiquitous** in mathematics
In particular...

- **Classifying** mathematical objects
- Understanding the original action $G \curvearrowright X$
 - ▶ orbits,
 - ▶ homogeneous spaces, or prehomogeneous spaces
 - ▶ **symmetric spaces**, **symmetric domains**, etc.

Why invariants?

As we saw, invariants are **ubiquitous** in mathematics
In particular...

- **Classifying** mathematical objects
- Understanding the original action $G \curvearrowright X$
 - ▶ orbits,
 - ▶ homogeneous spaces, or prehomogeneous spaces
 - ▶ symmetric spaces, symmetric domains, etc.
- Harmonic analysis relative to the action $G \curvearrowright X$

Why invariants?

As we saw, invariants are **ubiquitous** in mathematics
In particular...

- **Classifying** mathematical objects
- Understanding the original action $G \curvearrowright X$
 - ▶ orbits,
 - ▶ homogeneous spaces, or prehomogeneous spaces
 - ▶ symmetric spaces, symmetric domains, etc.
- Harmonic analysis relative to the action $G \curvearrowright X$
 - ▶ **invariant integral**, or Haar measure

Why invariants?

As we saw, invariants are **ubiquitous** in mathematics
In particular...

- **Classifying** mathematical objects
- Understanding the original action $G \curvearrowright X$
 - ▶ orbits,
 - ▶ homogeneous spaces, or prehomogeneous spaces
 - ▶ symmetric spaces, symmetric domains, etc.
- Harmonic analysis relative to the action $G \curvearrowright X$
 - ▶ invariant integral, or Haar measure
 - ▶ invariant differential operators (**Laplacian**) and **spherical functions**

Why invariants?

As we saw, invariants are **ubiquitous** in mathematics
In particular...

- **Classifying** mathematical objects
- Understanding the original action $G \curvearrowright X$
 - ▶ orbits,
 - ▶ homogeneous spaces, or prehomogeneous spaces
 - ▶ symmetric spaces, symmetric domains, etc.
- Harmonic analysis relative to the action $G \curvearrowright X$
 - ▶ invariant integral, or Haar measure
 - ▶ invariant differential operators (Laplacian) and spherical functions
 - ▶ **Fourier transform**, etc.

Fundamental problems of invariant theory

Two classical problems ...

Fundamental problems of invariant theory

Two classical problems ...

- 1 Find the ring generators $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathbb{C}[X]^G$

Question : \exists finite number of generators?

Can choose a good basis?

FFT = First Fundamental Theorem

Fundamental problems of invariant theory

Two classical problems ...

- 1 Find the ring generators $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathbb{C}[X]^G$

Question : \exists finite number of generators?

Can choose a good basis?

FFT = First Fundamental Theorem

- 2 Find all the relations among $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in I}$

Question: transcending degree?

singularities?

SFT = Second Fundamental Theorem

Fundamental problems of invariant theory

Two classical problems ...

- 1 Find the ring generators $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathbb{C}[X]^G$

Question : \exists finite number of generators?

Can choose a good basis?

FFT = First Fundamental Theorem

- 2 Find all the relations among $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in I}$

Question: transcending degree?

singularities?

SFT = Second Fundamental Theorem

There are many kinds of answers ...

Fundamental problems of invariant theory

Two classical problems ...

- ① Find the ring generators $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in I} \subset \mathbb{C}[X]^G$

Question : \exists finite number of generators?

Can choose a good basis?

FFT = First Fundamental Theorem

- ② Find all the relations among $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in I}$

Question: transcending degree?

singularities?

SFT = Second Fundamental Theorem

There are many kinds of answers ...

Final Goal

Better understanding of $\mathbb{C}[X]^G$ in **geometric terms**.

Understanding of the original action $G \curvearrowright X$ through it.

Basic setting

G : reductive, linear algebraic group

Basic setting

G : reductive, linear algebraic group

Definition (algebraic group)

algebraic group = Zariski closed subgroup in $GL_N(\mathbb{C})$

Zariski closed = solutions of the system of polynomial equations

Basic setting

G : **reductive**, linear **algebraic group**

Definition (algebraic group)

algebraic group = **Zariski closed** subgroup in $GL_N(\mathbb{C})$

Zariski closed = solutions of the system of polynomial equations

Definition (reductive)

reductive group = nilpotent radical is trivial

(if $/k$, k being algebraically closed, **char $k = 0$**)

= \forall finite dim representation is **completely reducible**

= \forall finite dim repr is decomposed into the direct sum of **irreducibles**

V : **reducible** $\iff V = U_1 \oplus U_2$ ($\exists U_i$: subrepresentation)

irreducibles = basi unit (**atom**) of representation

Reductive groups

Example (reductive groups)

$\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$: torus

$GL_n, SL_n, O_n, SO_n = O_n \cap SL_n, Sp_{2n}$: classical groups

G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8 : exceptional groups

Reductive groups

Example (reductive groups)

$\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$: torus

$GL_n, SL_n, O_n, SO_n = O_n \cap SL_n, Sp_{2n}$: classical groups

G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8 : exceptional groups

Also we have a general machinery to produce reductive groups

Reductive groups

Example (reductive groups)

$\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$: torus

$GL_n, SL_n, O_n, SO_n = O_n \cap SL_n, Sp_{2n}$: classical groups

G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8 : exceptional groups

Also we have a general machinery to produce reductive groups

Theorem

- 1 *Product of reductive groups is reductive*

Reductive groups

Example (reductive groups)

$\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$: torus

$GL_n, SL_n, O_n, SO_n = O_n \cap SL_n, Sp_{2n}$: classical groups

G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8 : exceptional groups

Also we have a general machinery to produce reductive groups

Theorem

- ① *Product* of reductive groups is reductive
- ② G : reductive, $H \subset G$: normal $\implies G/H$ reductive (*quotient*)

Reductive groups

Example (reductive groups)

$\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$: torus

$GL_n, SL_n, O_n, SO_n = O_n \cap SL_n, Sp_{2n}$: classical groups

G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8 : exceptional groups

Also we have a general machinery to produce reductive groups

Theorem

- ① *Product* of reductive groups is reductive
- ② G : reductive, $H \subset G$: normal $\implies G/H$ reductive (*quotient*)
- ③ G° : reductive $\implies G$: reductive (G° : identity component)
Extension by finite group ($\#G/G^\circ < \infty$)

Finite generation of invariants

Here is one of the best answer to FFT

Theorem (D. Hilbert 1900, 1933)

$G : \text{reductive} \curvearrowright V = \mathbb{C}^n : \text{vector space (linear repr)}$
 $\implies \mathbb{C}[V]^G : \text{finitely generated algebra } / \mathbb{C}$

Finite generation of invariants

Here is one of the best answer to FFT

Theorem (D. Hilbert 1900, 1993)

$G : \text{reductive} \curvearrowright V = \mathbb{C}^n : \text{vector space (linear repr)}$
 $\implies \mathbb{C}[V]^G : \text{finitely generated algebra } / \mathbb{C}$

Remark

\exists counter example for **non-reductive** G

... Nagata (1959) : Hilbert's 14th problem

Recent work by Mukai (2005) ... **Rich examples of finite generation** even when G is **not** reductive

Example of actions of finite groups

$\#G < \infty \implies G$: reductive

$$\mathbb{C}[V]^G = \{R(f) \mid R(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} f(g^{-1}x)\}$$

$R(f)$: Reynolds operator (projection to invariants)

Example of actions of finite groups

$\#G < \infty \implies G$: reductive

$$\mathbb{C}[V]^G = \{R(f) \mid R(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} f(g^{-1}x)\}$$

$R(f)$: Reynolds operator (projection to invariants)

Theorem (E. Noether 1916)

$\mathbb{C}[V]^G$: generated by polynomials of degree $\leq \#G$

Example of actions of finite groups

$\#G < \infty \implies G$: reductive

$$\mathbb{C}[V]^G = \{R(f) \mid R(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} f(g^{-1}x)\}$$

$R(f)$: **Reynolds operator** (projection to invariants)

Theorem (E. Noether 1916)

$\mathbb{C}[V]^G$: *generated by polynomials of degree $\leq \#G$*

Molien series :
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \dim(\mathbb{C}[V]_k^G) t^k = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{\det(1 - t\rho(g))}$$

Example of actions of finite groups

$\#G < \infty \implies G$: reductive

$$\mathbb{C}[V]^G = \{R(f) \mid R(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} f(g^{-1}x)\}$$

$R(f)$: **Reynolds operator** (projection to invariants)

Theorem (E. Noether 1916)

$\mathbb{C}[V]^G$: generated by polynomials of degree $\leq \#G$

Molien series : $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \dim(\mathbb{C}[V]_k^G) t^k = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{\det(1 - t\rho(g))}$

Theorem

G : a finite **reflection** group

$\{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_l\} \subset \mathbb{C}[V]^G$: minimal homogeneous generators

$\implies \{d_k = \deg \Delta_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq l\}$: uniquely determined (**exponents**)

Rational invariants and Galois theory

Theorem

$G : \text{finite group} \implies \mathbb{C}(V)^G = Q(\mathbb{C}[V]^G) : \text{quotient field} \quad \&$
 $[\mathbb{C}(V) : \mathbb{C}(V)^G] = \#G$

Rational invariants and Galois theory

Theorem

$G : \text{finite group} \implies \mathbb{C}(V)^G = Q(\mathbb{C}[V]^G) : \text{quotient field} \quad \&$
 $[\mathbb{C}(V) : \mathbb{C}(V)^G] = \#G$

$\mathbb{C}(V) : \text{Galois extension}$ of $\mathbb{C}(V)^G$ with Galois group G
 i.e.,

Study of $\mathbb{C}[V]^G \leftrightarrow$ Galois theory for rings

Example: symmetric group action

$G = \mathfrak{S}_n \curvearrowright V = \mathbb{C}^n$: action by coordinate change

$\mathbb{C}[V]^G = \{\text{symmetric polynomials}\}$: invariants

Example: symmetric group action

$G = \mathfrak{S}_n \curvearrowright V = \mathbb{C}^n$: action by coordinate change

$\mathbb{C}[V]^G = \{\text{symmetric polynomials}\}$: invariants

Generators of the ring of invariants $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$:

- {elementary symm fun $e_k(1 \leq k \leq n)$ } $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + tx_i) = \sum_{k=0}^n e_k(x) t^k$

Example: symmetric group action

$G = \mathfrak{S}_n \curvearrowright V = \mathbb{C}^n$: action by coordinate change

$\mathbb{C}[V]^G = \{\text{symmetric polynomials}\}$: invariants

Generators of the ring of invariants $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$:

- {elementary symm fun $e_k(1 \leq k \leq n)$ } $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + tx_i) = \sum_{k=0}^n e_k(x) t^k$
- {power sum $p_k(1 \leq k \leq n)$ } $p_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k$

Example: symmetric group action

$G = \mathfrak{S}_n \curvearrowright V = \mathbb{C}^n$: action by coordinate change

$\mathbb{C}[V]^G = \{\text{symmetric polynomials}\}$: invariants

Generators of the ring of invariants $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$:

- {elementary symm fun $e_k(1 \leq k \leq n)$ } $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + tx_i) = \sum_{k=0}^n e_k(x) t^k$
- {power sum $p_k(1 \leq k \leq n)$ } $p_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k$
- {complete symm fun $h_k(1 \leq k \leq n)$ } $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - tx_i)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k(x) t^k$

Example: symmetric group action

$G = \mathfrak{S}_n \curvearrowright V = \mathbb{C}^n$: action by coordinate change

$\mathbb{C}[V]^G = \{\text{symmetric polynomials}\}$: invariants

Generators of the ring of invariants $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$:

- {elementary symm fun $e_k(1 \leq k \leq n)$ } $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + tx_i) = \sum_{k=0}^n e_k(x) t^k$
- {power sum $p_k(1 \leq k \leq n)$ } $p_k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k$
- {complete symm fun $h_k(1 \leq k \leq n)$ } $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - tx_i)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k(x) t^k$

Exponents $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

Generators are algebraically independent

Example: symmetric group action (continued)

Define a **quotient map** $\Phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ by $\Phi(v) = (e_1(v), e_2(v), \dots, e_n(v))$

Example: symmetric group action (continued)

Define a **quotient map** $\Phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ by $\Phi(v) = (e_1(v), e_2(v), \dots, e_n(v))$

Lemma

$\Phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is surjective & \mathfrak{S}_n -invariant

Every fiber $\Phi^{-1}(y)$ ($y \in \mathbb{C}^n$) is a single \mathfrak{S}_n -orbit

Example: symmetric group action (continued)

Define a **quotient map** $\Phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ by $\Phi(v) = (e_1(v), e_2(v), \dots, e_n(v))$

Lemma

$\Phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is surjective & \mathfrak{S}_n -invariant

Every fiber $\Phi^{-1}(y)$ ($y \in \mathbb{C}^n$) is a single \mathfrak{S}_n -orbit

Proof.

Φ surjective \Leftarrow the **fundamental theorem of algebra** (Gauss's theorem)

i.e., giving \forall coeff of the degree n equation,

\exists n -solutions counting with multiplicity (\Leftarrow fiber) □

Example: symmetric group action (continued)

Define a **quotient map** $\Phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ by $\Phi(v) = (e_1(v), e_2(v), \dots, e_n(v))$

Lemma

$\Phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is surjective & \mathfrak{S}_n -invariant
 Every fiber $\Phi^{-1}(y)$ ($y \in \mathbb{C}^n$) is a single \mathfrak{S}_n -orbit

Proof.

Φ surjective \Leftarrow the **fundamental theorem of algebra** (Gauss's theorem)
 i.e., giving \forall coeff of the degree n equation,
 \exists n -solutions counting with multiplicity (\Leftarrow fiber) □

Thus we conclude $V/\mathfrak{S}_n \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ via the quotient map Φ

$\Phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n/\mathfrak{S}_n = \mathbb{C}^n$: generically $[\mathfrak{S}_n : 1]$ map (**Galois covering**)

Generic fiber $\simeq \mathfrak{S}_n$, inherits **regular representation** of \mathfrak{S}_n

Orthogonal invariants

$G = O_n \curvearrowright V = \mathbb{C}^n$: vector representation (mult of matrix against vector)

Problem

Describe the invariants for $G \curvearrowright V \oplus \cdots \oplus V = V^{\oplus m}$

$U := \mathbb{C}^m \implies V^{\oplus m} \simeq V \otimes U \simeq M_{n,m}$ coordinates x_{ij} on $M_{n,m}$

Orthogonal invariants

$G = O_n \curvearrowright V = \mathbb{C}^n$: vector representation (mult of matrix against vector)

Problem

Describe the invariants for $G \curvearrowright V \oplus \cdots \oplus V = V^{\oplus m}$

$U := \mathbb{C}^m \implies V^{\oplus m} \simeq V \otimes U \simeq M_{n,m}$ coordinates x_{ij} on $M_{n,m}$

FFT for orthogonal invariants:

Theorem (H. Weyl 1939)

$\mathbb{C}[V^{\oplus m}]^{O_n} = \mathbb{C}[z_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq m]$ where $z_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki}x_{kj}$

$X = (x_{ij}) \in M_{n,m} \implies Z = (z_{ij}) = {}^tXX \in \text{Sym}_m$

Orthogonal invariants

$G = O_n \curvearrowright V = \mathbb{C}^n$: vector representation (mult of matrix against vector)

Problem

Describe the invariants for $G \curvearrowright V \oplus \cdots \oplus V = V^{\oplus m}$

$U := \mathbb{C}^m \implies V^{\oplus m} \simeq V \otimes U \simeq M_{n,m}$ coordinates x_{ij} on $M_{n,m}$

FFT for orthogonal invariants:

Theorem (H. Weyl 1939)

$\mathbb{C}[V^{\oplus m}]^{O_n} = \mathbb{C}[z_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j \leq m]$ where $z_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki}x_{kj}$

$X = (x_{ij}) \in M_{n,m} \implies Z = (z_{ij}) = {}^tXX \in \text{Sym}_m$

Example

$m = 1$: $\mathbb{C}[V]^{O_n} = \mathbb{C}[\xi]$ $\xi = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$

Contraction invariants

$$G = \mathrm{GL}_n \curvearrowright V = \mathbb{C}^n \implies \mathbb{C}[V^{\oplus m}]^G = \mathbb{C} : \text{trivial (NO invariants)}$$

Contraction invariants

$G = \mathrm{GL}_n \curvearrowright V = \mathbb{C}^n \implies \mathbb{C}[V^{\oplus m}]^G = \mathbb{C}$: trivial (**NO invariants**)

Right setting using V^* : **contragredient** (= dual) of V

Problem

Describe invariants for $G \curvearrowright V^{\oplus p} \oplus V^{\oplus q}$*

$U := \mathbb{C}^p, U' := \mathbb{C}^q \implies V^{\oplus p} \oplus V^{*\oplus q} \simeq V \otimes U \oplus V^* \otimes U' \simeq M_{n,p} \oplus M_{n,q}$
 coordinates x_{ij} on $M_{n,p}$, y_{ij} on $M_{n,q}$

Contraction invariants

$G = \mathrm{GL}_n \curvearrowright V = \mathbb{C}^n \implies \mathbb{C}[V^{\oplus m}]^G = \mathbb{C}$: trivial (**NO invariants**)

Right setting using V^* : **contragredient** (= dual) of V

Problem

Describe invariants for $G \curvearrowright V^{\oplus p} \oplus V^{*\oplus q}$

$U := \mathbb{C}^p, U' := \mathbb{C}^q \implies V^{\oplus p} \oplus V^{*\oplus q} \simeq V \otimes U \oplus V^* \otimes U' \simeq M_{n,p} \oplus M_{n,q}$
 coordinates x_{ij} on $M_{n,p}$, y_{ij} on $M_{n,q}$

FFT for contraction invariants:

Theorem (H. Weyl 1939)

$\mathbb{C}[V^{\oplus p} \oplus V^{*\oplus q}]^{\mathrm{GL}_n} = \mathbb{C}[z_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q]$ where

$z_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki} y_{kj}$: **contraction** of X and Y

$X = (x_{ij}) \in M_{n,p}, Y = (y_{ij}) \in M_{n,q} \implies Z = (z_{ij}) = {}^tXY \in M_{p,q}$

Second Fundamental Theorem = SFT

describing **relations** among generators ...

Second Fundamental Theorem = SFT

describing **relations** among generators ... easiest case is:

Second Fundamental Theorem = SFT

describing **relations** among generators ... easiest case is:

Theorem (Shephard-Todd 1954)

Assume $\#G < \infty$, $G \curvearrowright V$: linear representation

$\mathbb{C}[V]^G$ is a polynomial ring (**no relations**)

$\iff G$ is a pseudo-reflection group

Remark

s : **pseudo-reflection** = $\exists U \subset V$: $(n-1)$ -dim s.t. $s|_U = \text{id}_U$

pseudo-reflection group = finite group generated by pseudo-reflections

Second Fundamental Theorem = SFT

describing **relations** among generators ... easiest case is:

Theorem (Shephard-Todd 1954)

Assume $\#G < \infty$, $G \curvearrowright V$: linear representation

$\mathbb{C}[V]^G$ is a polynomial ring (**no relations**)

$\iff G$ is a pseudo-reflection group

Remark

s : **pseudo-reflection** = $\exists U \subset V$: $(n-1)$ -dim s.t. $s|_U = \text{id}_U$

pseudo-reflection group = finite group generated by pseudo-reflections

If \exists relations, what we can do? Namely

Problem

How to describe relations among generators?

Return to the general situation $G \curvearrowright X$ ($X \subset \mathbb{C}^N$)

G : reductive ; X : affine variety (solutions of polynomial equations)

$\{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m\} \subset \mathbb{C}[X]^G$: **generators** of invariants

Return to the general situation $G \curvearrowright X$ ($X \subset \mathbb{C}^N$)

G : reductive ; X : affine variety (solutions of polynomial equations)

$\{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m\} \subset \mathbb{C}[X]^G$: **generators** of invariants \implies

Algebra morphism:

$$\Phi^* : \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_m] \ni F(\mathbf{y}) \mapsto F(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m) \in \mathbb{C}[X]^G$$

\exists **relation** $F(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m) \equiv 0 \iff F(\mathbf{y}) \in \text{Ker } \Phi^* =: I$

i.e., $I = \text{Ker } \Phi^* \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]$ describes relations completely

Return to the general situation $G \curvearrowright X$ ($X \subset \mathbb{C}^N$)

G : reductive ; X : affine variety (solutions of polynomial equations)

$\{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m\} \subset \mathbb{C}[X]^G$: **generators** of invariants \implies

Algebra morphism:

$$\Phi^* : \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_m] \ni F(\mathbf{y}) \mapsto F(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m) \in \mathbb{C}[X]^G$$

\exists **relation** $F(\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m) \equiv 0 \iff F(\mathbf{y}) \in \text{Ker } \Phi^* =: I$

i.e., $I = \text{Ker } \Phi^* \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]$ describes relations completely

Theorem (Hilbert's basis theorem)

\forall ideal $I \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]$ admits finite # of generators $\{F_1, \dots, F_\ell\}$

Notation

$I = (F_1, \dots, F_\ell) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]F_j$: ideal generated by $\{F_1, \dots, F_\ell\}$

SFT describes the **generators of relations** $\{F_1, \dots, F_\ell\}$ completely, which are satisfied by invariants $\{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m\}$

SFT describes the **generators of relations** $\{F_1, \dots, F_\ell\}$ completely, which are satisfied by invariants $\{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m\}$

Example (orthogonal invariants)

Recall $G = O_n \curvearrowright V^{\oplus m} \simeq M_{n,m}$ ($V = \mathbb{C}^n$)

coordinates x_{ij} on $M_{n,m} \implies$ orthog invariants : $z_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki}x_{kj}$

$X = (x_{ij}) \in M_{n,m} \implies Z = (z_{ij}) = {}^tXX \in \text{Sym}_m$

① $m \leq n \implies \{z_{ij}\} : \text{alg independent (no relation)}$

SFT describes the **generators of relations** $\{F_1, \dots, F_\ell\}$ completely, which are satisfied by invariants $\{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m\}$

Example (orthogonal invariants)

Recall $G = O_n \curvearrowright V^{\oplus m} \simeq M_{n,m}$ ($V = \mathbb{C}^n$)

coordinates x_{ij} on $M_{n,m} \implies$ orthog invariants : $z_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki}x_{kj}$

$X = (x_{ij}) \in M_{n,m} \implies Z = (z_{ij}) = {}^tXX \in \text{Sym}_m$

① $m \leq n \implies \{z_{ij}\} : \text{alg independent (no relation)}$

② $m > n \implies Z = (z_{ij})$ is of rank n
(i.e., **relations are $(n+1)$ -th minors** in Sym_m)

Geometric point of view

$I \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]$: ideal of relations (**prime**)

$\longleftrightarrow Y = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid F(\mathbf{y}) = 0 (\forall F \in I)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^m$: **variety**

Geometric point of view

$I \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]$: ideal of relations (**prime**)

$$\longleftrightarrow Y = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid F(\mathbf{y}) = 0 (\forall F \in I)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^m : \text{variety}$$

Key theorem:

Theorem (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz)

(*reduced ideals in* $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]$) $\ni I \xleftrightarrow{\text{biject}}$

$$Y \in (\text{zero pt sets of polynomial equations}) = (Z \text{ closed sets}) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$$

Geometric point of view

$I \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]$: ideal of relations (**prime**)

$$\longleftrightarrow Y = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid F(\mathbf{y}) = 0 \ (\forall F \in I)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^m : \text{variety}$$

Key theorem:

Theorem (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz)

(reduced ideals in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]$) $\ni I \xleftrightarrow{\text{biject}}$

$$Y \in (\text{zero pt sets of polynomial equations}) = (Z \text{ closed sets}) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$$

- $I = \mathbb{I}(Y) = \{F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}] \mid F|_Y \equiv 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]$
- $Y = \mathbb{V}(I) = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid F(\mathbf{y}) = 0 \ (\forall F \in I)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^m$

Geometric point of view

$I \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]$: ideal of relations (**prime**)

$$\longleftrightarrow Y = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid F(\mathbf{y}) = 0 \ (\forall F \in I)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^m : \text{variety}$$

Key theorem:

Theorem (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz)

(reduced ideals in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]$) $\ni I \xleftrightarrow{\text{biject}}$

$$Y \in (\text{zero pt sets of polynomial equations}) = (Z \text{ closed sets}) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$$

- $I = \mathbb{I}(Y) = \{F \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}] \mid F|_Y \equiv 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{y}]$
- $Y = \mathbb{V}(I) = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid F(\mathbf{y}) = 0 \ (\forall F \in I)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^m$

I : prime ideal $\longleftrightarrow Y$: irreducible

$$(\text{i.e., } Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2 \ (Y_i : Z \text{ closed}) \implies Y = Y_1 \text{ or } Y = Y_2)$$

Conclusion:

SFT = describe algebraic variety defined by relations
among invariants

To be continued...